

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

APEX - Single System

OS REGISTRY
FILE See 18

FROM:

3D39 Hqs

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

31 July 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

6 AUG 1980

8/6/80

2

2 to 1: I continue to perceive in the subject memoranda and discussions that non-Government industrial personalities are too strongly aiding APEX policy formulation. I think the particular CIA image being expressed is a spinoff of this. This is of concern because APEX is a U.S. Government system and the DCI is the power policy authority responsible by law for the protection of intelligence sources and methods. I believe that the Director of Logistics in his 22 July memorandum expresses a like concern.

2.

C/OPS/PROS

1 AUG 1980

6 AUG 1980

Coke

CIA's place in the scheme of things is different from other agencies, having everyday special contracting, security, cover and operational requirements. We should not lose sight of these special requirements in a universal effort to drive home a concept of uniformity. I see nothing magic or demanding regarding this concept of uniformity if it results in lower standards and diminished security for intelligence in the national interest. I believe it is mandatory to maintain strong direct control over Agency programs as an essential function of command. If for CIA this requires special or different procedures than for other agencies, so be it. I believe the most important action to be taken is that the DCI lay down the law to all agencies who come under APEX that each meet in the fullest sense the requirements of the DCID's and other published regulations in the physical, technical, computer security, personnel, etc. Then we would be more closely coming to grips with "real uniformity."

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

OS-6-0951/7

OS-6-0951/7